

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,603	01/26/2001	Arthur E. Schwartz	20076-53	8546
75	90 11/17/2004		EXAM	INER
WILLIAM H. DIPPERT			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
REED SMITH	L.L.P.			····· ,···
599 LEXINGTON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
29th FLOOR			3739	
NEW YORK, NY 10022			DATE MARE ED. 11/17/200	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/770,603	SCHWARTZ, ARTHUR E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rosiland S Rollins	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8/13/</u>	<u>04</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-32 and 47-53</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-32 and 47-53</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		···				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	arear reprioration (1.10-102)				

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-28, 30, 31, 47-51 and 53 continue to be rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saab (5,624,392). Saab shows a catheter (10) for intravascular corporeal cooling comprising: an elongated tubular member (12) having proximal and distal sections, an outer surface, and at least one lumen (11) extending therethrough, and annular insulation (16, 22) having proximal and distal ends arranged concentrically (Fig. 1) around the outer surface of the elongated tubular member (12) is insulated from fluid or tissue external to the annular insulation (16, 22), wherein the insulation is tapered (at 18), extends along substantially the whole length or a shorter section of the catheter (col 16, lines 54-59) and comprises a fluid-filled member, filled with gas, water or saline (col 9, line 66 - col 10 line 2) and polymeric material (14, 20, col 10, lines 38-39). Regarding claim 30, the structure can be used for brain cooling.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginsburg in view of Donlon (6,056,723). Ginsburg shows all of the limitations of claim 29 except for the pressure sensor. Donlon shows a similar catheter for placement in a blood vessel, which includes a pressure sensor (38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a pressure sensor in the device of Ginsburg to prevent injury by which can be caused by high pressure fluids in the bloodstream.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/13/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Saab allows the temperature of the fluid inside the catheter to be transferred to adjacent portions of the body that are in contact with or in proximity to the catheter sidewalls. In col. 11 lines 32-34 Saab describes an insulation feature in which the portions of the body that are in contact with the catheter sidewalls are insulated from the cool fluid flowing through the catheter.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a system where any portion of the fluid could remain in the body) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification,

limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Regarding the argument that Donlon does not show or teach a pressure sensor at or adjacent to the distal end of the catheter, in figure 2 Donlon illustrates a pressure port (37), which is located at the distal end of the catheter.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Rollins whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

RR